

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Gender differences in the use of cardiovascular interventions in HIV-positive persons; the D:A:D Study

Camilla I Hatleberg¹ , Lene Ryom¹, Wafaa El-Sadr², Amanda Mocroft³, Peter Reiss⁴, Stephane De Wit⁵, Francois Dabis⁶, Christian Pradier⁷, Antonella d'Arminio Monforte⁸, Helen Kovari⁹, Matthew Law¹⁰, Jens D Lundgren¹ and Caroline A Sabin³ On behalf of the Data Collection of Adverse Events of Anti-HIV drugs (D:A:D) Study group

Corresponding author: Camilla I Hatleberg, CHIP, Department of Infectious Diseases, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, DK-2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. Tel: +45 35 45 57 70. (camilla.hatleberg@regionh.dk)

Part of this work was previously presented as an oral presentation at the 12th Congress on Drug therapy in HIV-Infection, Glasgow, 2014.

Abstract

Introduction: There is paucity of data related to potential gender differences in the use of interventions to prevent and treat cardiovascular disease (CVD) among HIV-positive individuals. We investigated whether such differences exist in the observational D:A:D cohort study.

Methods: Participants were followed from study enrolment until the earliest of death, six months after last visit or February 1, 2015. Initiation of CVD interventions [lipid-lowering drugs (LLDs), angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), anti-hypertensives, invasive cardiovascular procedures (ICPs)] were investigated and Poisson regression models calculated whether rates were lower among women than men, adjusting for potential confounders.

Results: Women (n = 12,955) were generally at lower CVD risk than men (n = 36,094). Overall, initiation rates of CVD interventions were lower in women than men; LLDs: incidence rate 1.28 [1.21, 1.35] vs. 2.40 [2.34, 2.46]; ACEIs: 0.88 [0.82, 0.93] vs. 1.43 [1.39, 1.48]; anti-hypertensives: 1.40 [1.33, 1.47] vs. 1.72 [1.68, 1.77] and ICPs: 0.08 [0.06, 0.10] vs. 0.30 [0.28, 0.32], and this was also true for most CVD interventions when exclusively considering periods of follow-up for which individuals were at high CVD risk. In fully adjusted models, women were less likely to receive CVD interventions than men (LLDs: relative rate 0.83 [0.78, 0.88]; ACEIs: 0.93 [0.86, 1.01]; ICPs: 0.54 [0.43, 0.68]), except for the receipt of anti-hypertensives (1.17 [1.10, 1.25]).

Conclusion: The use of most CVD interventions was lower among women than men. Interventions are needed to ensure that all HIV-positive persons, particularly women, are appropriately monitored for CVD and, if required, receive appropriate CVD interventions.

Keywords: Cardiovascular disease; gender; cardiovascular disease interventions; cohort studies; HIV; women; myocardial infarction; stroke

Received 22 July 2017; Accepted 29 January 2018

Copyright © 2018 The Authors. *Journal of the International AIDS Society* published by John Wiley & sons Ltd on behalf of the International AIDS Society. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1 | INTRODUCTION

HIV-positive individuals are known to be at increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) compared to the general population [1,2], partly due to an increased prevalence of some CVD risk factors, exposure to some antiretroviral drugs and chronic immune activation [3–7]. Previous findings also indicate that HIV-infection has a slightly greater impact on the risk of myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke in women compared to men [2–4,8,9].

In the general population, it is recognized that the risk of CVD, including MI and stroke, increases with age, and that women are less likely to develop CVD at any given age than men [10–14]. However, this gender gap in cardiovascular morbidity diminishes with increasing age, as the protective

effect of oestrogen wanes post-menopause resulting in an increase in CVD morbidity in women [10–16]. Although there have been substantial reductions in the incidence of MI and improvements in survival after MI and stroke over the last two decades [16–18], these improvements have lagged behind in women compared to men [16,19–21]. In particular, women have been shown to have higher in-hospital mortality after MI at a younger age than men [22–27], as well as higher rates of complications and mortality after invasive coronary interventions [28,29]. Evidence also indicates that women experience more severe stroke events, longer hospital stays and higher mortality rates following a stroke compared to men [16].

The reasons for these poorer outcomes remain unclear, but it is likely that multiple factors play a role, both before and

after an event. Importantly, there is increasing evidence of delayed or less intensive use of medical and invasive procedures for diagnostic evaluation and treatment of MI and stroke among women compared to men [11,12,16,20,30–34]. A previous study in the general population also demonstrated an inverse association between in-hospital mortality after MI and the number of CVD risk factors that were present in an individual [35]. This suggests that some individuals at apparently low CVD risk may have other, as yet unidentified, underlying risk factors or pathophysiological features that result in an MI of greater severity, a poorer prognosis and/or less optimal medical management. This hypothesis may pertain particularly to women, as they are generally perceived to be at lower risk of CVD, particularly if pre-menopausal.

As in the general population, guidelines for the prevention of CVD among HIV-positive individuals generally focus on groups at high CVD risk [36–38]. Since women are generally considered to be at low CVD risk, it may be that the poorer outcomes in low risk individuals observed in the general population [35] may take on greater relevance among HIV-positive women who are generally at higher overall risk of MI and stroke due to their HIV status [2–4,7–9]. An understanding of the use of interventions to prevent and treat CVD in HIV-positive women compared to men is therefore required. The aim of this study was to investigate potential gender differences in the use of CVD-related interventions in the large, prospective Data on Adverse effects of antiretroviral Drugs (D:A:D) study.

2 | METHODS

The D:A:D study is a large, prospective cohort study which follows >49,000 HIV-positive persons from 11 collaborating cohorts in Europe, USA and Australia, contributing to >430,000 person years of follow-up (PYRS). The details of the study have been described previously [6]. The data are obtained prospectively with information collected on demographic factors, AIDS events, CD4 counts, HIV RNA viral loads, other laboratory test results (e.g. total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG)), antiretroviral therapy-regimen and treatment history, CVD risk factors and treatments. Data on clinical endpoints including non-fatal/fatal MIs, strokes, deaths (including sudden cardiac death), and invasive cardiovascular procedures (ICPs; including coronary artery bypass grafts (CABGs), angioplasties and carotid endarterectomies) are reported to the D:A:D coordinating centre via designated case report forms and centrally validated according to standardized algorithms (https://www.chip.dk/Portals/0/files/Study%20documents/DAD_MOOP_revised2013.pdf). MIs are classified with a Dundee score using criteria from the WHO MONICA Study [39] and stroke events are validated on the basis of the presence of focal neurological signs with duration > 24 hours with no evidence of any non-vascular cause. Causes of death are classified using the Coding of Causes of Death (CoDe) methodology, developed for the classification of causes of death in HIV-positive persons (www.chip.dk/code) [40]. This analysis was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, with approval by national ethics committees and informed consent where required by national regulations.

2.1 | Statistical methods

Men and women were followed from baseline (date of entry into the D:A:D study which occurred on or after February 1, 1999) until the earliest of death, six months after last visit or February 1, 2015. CVD interventions considered were ICPs and the use of anti-hypertensives, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs) and lipid lowering drugs (LLDs). Individuals with a previous MI/stroke at baseline (i.e. prior to D:A:D Study entry (n = 654)) were excluded from analyses of the subsequent initiation of interventions as the interventions received by these individuals prior to and after the event could not be ascertained with sufficient accuracy. Rates of initiation of each CVD-related intervention were calculated for the total time of follow-up and for the specific periods of follow-up during which individuals were at high CVD risk according to one or more of the following risk subgroups: TC >6.2 mmol/L (>240 mg/dl), TG >2.3 mmol/L (>204 mg/dl), hypertension (systolic blood pressure (SBP) >140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) >90 mmHg, or reported use of ACEIs/anti-hypertensives), previous (post baseline) MI, diabetes (two consecutive fasting blood glucoses >7.0 mmol/L, HbA1C >6.5% or anti-diabetic treatment), age >50 years or predicted 10-year CVD risk score >10% (moderate/high Framingham CVD risk score). As the D:A:D CVD risk score was published in more recent years, the Framingham risk score was chosen as it has been more widely used in participating clinics over the whole study period. Since ACEIs may also be used to treat hypertension, consideration of ACEIs separately to other anti-hypertensives may result in an underestimation of drugs used to treat hypertension. Thus, we additionally considered a combined drug classification of either ACEIs or other anti-hypertensives.

Each individual's follow-up was split into a series of consecutive one-month periods and the clinical, immunologic and virologic status at the start of each period was established. Poisson regression models were then used to assess whether initiation rates of CVD interventions were lower in women compared to men, after adjustment for the following potential time-updated confounders: age, calendar year, body mass index (BMI), TC, TG, hypertension, previous MI, race, smoking status, AIDS, CVD family history, stroke, diabetes and CVD risk score >10%.

For each calendar year of follow-up, an individual was considered to have been monitored for TC, TG, HDL and SBP/DBP if there was at least one measure of each within that year. Logistic regression models then assessed whether the probability of being monitored for each measure differed in men and women, after adjustment for calendar year, age, BMI, TC, TG, hypertension, previous MI, diabetes and CVD risk score >10%.

Additional analyses were performed in which we adjusted for TC, TG and SBP/DBP as continuous rather than categorical covariates and after excluding those with a mode of HIV acquisition other than heterosexual sex, as the latter is the group in which the comparison between men and women is least affected by other, unmeasured confounders. Where differences between men and women were identified we fitted a series of regression models, progressively adjusting for each of the potential confounders, allowing us to identify the potential mediators of any differences seen. Finally, since our main

analyses investigated overall initiation rates both before and after an MI, we performed sensitivity analyses in which post-MI follow up was censored, thus restricting analyses to interventions used only prophylactically and allowing us to investigate whether findings were consistent.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Characteristics at baseline and at time of MI

Of the 49,049 included participants, 12,955 were women and 36,094 were men. Baseline characteristics of the men and women at study entry are shown in Table 1. Most women acquired HIV through heterosexual transmission (9037 (69.8%)), whereas most men acquired HIV through sex with men (21491 (59.5%)). Compared to men, the women were significantly more likely to be younger (median age [interquartile range (IQR)] 34 [29,40] vs. 39 [33,41] years), more likely of black African race (2610 (20.2%) vs. 2220 (6.2%)), less likely to be current smokers (3814 (29.4%) vs. 13556 (37.6%)) or ex-smokers (1841 (14.2%) vs. 6465 (17.9%)), and less likely to have other traditional CVD risk factors such as diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, previous ICP or receipt of LLDs and ACEIs (Table 1). Furthermore, a higher proportion of women had a low Framingham CVD risk score (<10%), with smaller proportions belonging to the moderate (10-20%) and high (>20%) CVD risk groups. A slightly higher proportion of women than men had an unknown CVD risk score (Table 1).

3.2 | Periods of follow-up at high CVD risk

The women in the study contributed a total of 113,821 PYRS to the analyses. Of these, 14.9% were contributed by women with a high TG level, 17.5% were contributed by women >50 years, and 16.9% were contributed by women with hypertension (Table 2). The men in the study contributed a total of 314,843 PYRS to the analyses. A higher proportion of this follow-up time was contributed by men with high TG (29.3%), age >50 years (31.7%) and hypertension (23.8%). Only 4.4% of follow-up time in women was contributed by women with an established moderate/high CVD risk score (>10%) compared to 27.5% of follow-up time in men.

3.3 | Rates of monitoring for CVD risk factors

Absolute rates of monitoring for TC, TG, HDL-C and blood pressure within any 12 month period in both low and high CVD risk subgroups of the participants were similar in women and men; TC: 80.3% vs. 81.7%; TG: 76.9 vs. 79.2%; HDL-C: 64.0 vs 65.3% and blood pressure: 66.6 vs. 65.8%. In unadjusted analyses, women were slightly less likely to be monitored for TC, TG and HDL-C (TC: odds ratio 0.92 [0.89, 0.96]; TG: 0.88 [0.79, 0.97]; HDL-C 0.95 [0.88, 1.02]), although differences were attenuated and became non-significant in adjusted models (TC: 1.01 [0.98, 1.05]; TG: 0.97 [0.93, 1.01]; HDL-C: 1.03 [0.96, 1.10]). In contrast, while no difference in blood pressure monitoring rates were seen prior to adjustment (0.99 [0.93, 1.05]), women were more likely to be monitored for blood pressure in adjusted models (1.12 [1.08, 1.15]).

3.4 | Use of CVD interventions

Over the total follow-up period, 1334 (10.3%) women and 6274 (17.4%) men initiated LLD; 944 (7.3%) women and 4016 (11.1%) men initiated ACEIs; 1444 (11.1%) women and 4834 (13.4%) men initiated anti-hypertensives; 1715 (13.2%) women and 6126 (17.0%) men initiated ACEIs or anti-hypertensives; and 89 (0.7%) women and 932 (2.6%) men underwent an ICP. When taking all follow-up time into consideration, women had lower initiation rates than men for all CVD interventions: LLDs (incidence rate (IR) [95% CI]/100 PYRS in women vs. men 1.28 [1.21, 1.35] vs. 2.40 [2.34, 2.46]), ACEIs (0.88 [0.82, 0.93] vs. 1.43 [1.39, 1.48]), anti-hypertensives (1.40 [1.33, 1.47] vs. 1.72 [1.68, 1.77]); ACEIs or anti-hypertensives 1.59 [1.61, 1.77] vs. 2.26 [2.21, 2.32] and ICPs (0.08 [0.06, 0.10] vs. 0.30 [0.28, 0.32]) (Figure 1).

When restricting the analysis only to periods of follow-up during which an individual was in one of the high CVD risk subgroups, women generally continued to have lower initiation rates than men for most CVD interventions (Table 3). The only exceptions to this were for the receipt of LLDs, ACEIs, anti-hypertensives and ACEIs or anti-hypertensives in people with a CVD risk score >10%; the uptake of anti-hypertensives and ACEIs or anti-hypertensives among people with hypertension and the receipt of ACEIs among people with a previous MI. In each of these latter subgroups, initiation rates of the interventions were higher in women than men (Table 3).

3.5 | Poisson regression models

In Poisson regression models, unadjusted rates of initiation for each of the four CVD interventions (LLDs, ACEIs, anti-hypertensives and ICPs) were lower in women than in men (Figure 2). When adjusting for potential confounders, rate ratios were attenuated but still indicated significantly lower initiation rates in women compared to men for LLDs and ICPs: LLDs: (relative rate (RR)) 0.83 [0.78, 0.88]; ICPs: 0.54 [0.43, 0.68], and borderline significantly lower rates for ACEIs (0.93 [0.86, 1.01]). For anti-hypertensives, the direction of the association was reversed after adjustment for potential confounders, reflecting a higher initiation rate in women compared to men (1.17 [1.10, 1.25]) (Figure 2). This was also observed when we considered initiation of either anti-hypertensives or ACEIs (1.08 [1.02, 1.15]). To investigate which factors were likely to contribute to the higher likelihood of use of anti-hypertensives by women compared to men, a series of regression models was fitted in which we progressively adjusted for each of the potential confounders in turn. This analysis revealed that this finding was mainly driven by adjustments for hypertension and a CVD risk score >10%.

Additional adjustment for TC, TG, and SBP/DBP as continuous covariates, and the exclusion of those with modes of HIV transmission other than heterosexual sex, led to consistent results. Consistent results were also observed when follow-up was censored at the time of an MI, suggesting that our findings could not simply be explained by a higher uptake of secondary prevention interventions post-MI in men.

Table 1. Comparison of characteristics of men and women at D:A:D Study enrolment

		At baseline		p-value ^a
		Men, N (%)	Women, N (%)	
Number		36,094	12,955	
HIV acquisition	MSM	21,491 (59.5)	110 (0.9)	
	IDU	5110 (14.2)	2322 (17.9)	
	Heterosexual	7092 (19.7)	9037 (69.8)	
	Other/not known	2401 (6.7)	1486 (11.5)	0.0001
Race	White	19,017 (52.7)	5795 (44.7)	
	Black African	2220 (6.2)	2610 (20.2)	
	Other	920 (2.6)	484 (3.7)	
	Unknown	13,937 (38.6)	4066 (31.4)	0.0001
Age (years)	Median (IQR)	39 (33, 46)	34 (29, 40)	0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	<18	847 (2.4)	707 (5.5)	
	≥18, ≤26	23,936 (66.3)	7645 (59.0)	
	>26, ≤30	4602 (12.8)	1368 (10.6)	
	>30	1223 (3.4)	914 (7.1)	
	Not known	5486 (15.2)	2321 (17.9)	0.0001
Smoking	Current	13,556 (37.6)	3814 (29.4)	
	Ex-	6465 (17.9)	1841 (14.2)	
	Never	8186 (22.7)	4800 (37.1)	
	Not known	7887 (21.9)	2500 (19.3)	0.0001
Prior AIDS diagnosis		8285 (23.0)	2769 (21.4)	0.0002
Exposed to ART		21,954 (60.8)	7813 (60.3)	0.30
CD4 (cells/mm ³)	Median (IQR)	400 (244, 590)	405 (249, 591)	0.06
HIV RNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	Median (IQR)	3.0 (1.7, 4.6)	2.9 (1.7, 4.2)	0.0001
HIV RNA ≤50 copies/mL		10,499 (29.1)	3565 (27.5)	0.0007
Family history of CVD		2325 (6.4)	718 (5.5)	0.0003
Lipodystrophy		5310 (14.7)	1759 (13.6)	0.002
Diabetes		976 (2.7)	221 (1.7)	0.0001
Bypass		26 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	0.007
Endarterectomy		9 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	0.41
Angioplasty		66 (0.2)	3 (0.0)	0.0001
Any ICP		96 (0.3)	5 (0.0)	0.0001
Receipt of LLD		1241 (3.4)	163 (1.3)	0.0001
TC (mmol/L)	Median (IQR)	4.8 (4.0, 5.7)	4.8 (4.0, 5.6)	0.90
TG (mmol/L)	Median (IQR)	1.6 (1.1, 2.7)	1.3 (0.9, 1.9)	0.0001
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	Median (IQR)	1.1 (0.8, 1.3)	1.3 (1.1, 1.6)	0.0001
Dyslipidaemia		13831 (38.3)	3049 (23.5)	0.0001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Median (IQR)	120 (115, 131)	120 (110, 125)	0.0001
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Median (IQR)	80 (70, 84)	75 (70, 80)	0.0001
Receipt of anti-hypertensives		1030 (2.9)	349 (2.7)	0.35
Receipt of ACEIs		621 (1.7)	143 (1.1)	0.0001
Hypertension		3904 (10.8)	904 (7.0)	0.0001
Haemoglobin	Median (IQR)	9.0 (8.4, 9.5)	7.9 (7.2, 8.4)	0.0001
eGFR	Median (IQR)	104.2 (89.5, 121.4)	108.8 (88.9, 135.8)	0.0001
Predicted 10-year CVD risk	Low (<10%)	9879 (27.4)	4148 (32.0)	
	Moderate (10% to 20%)	2378 (6.6)	143 (1.1)	
	High (>20%)	748 (2.1)	22 (0.2)	
	Unknown	23,089 (64.0)	8642 (66.7)	0.0001

MSM, men who have sex with men; IDU, intravenous drug use; BMI, body mass index; ART, anti-retroviral therapy; CVD, cardiovascular disease; ICPs, invasive cardiovascular procedures; LLDs, lipid lowering drugs; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; HDL cholesterol, High-density lipoprotein cholesterol; ACEIs, Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate.^ap < 0.05.

4 | DISCUSSION

Women generally have a lower CVD risk than men, particularly at younger ages [10–16]. While an increased risk of CVD in HIV-positive individuals is well recognized [1–8], this increased risk has been noted to be more pronounced in HIV-positive women than in HIV-positive men [2–4,8,9]. To the best of our knowledge, however, this is the first study to specifically investigate gender differences in the management of CVD between HIV-positive women and men, with substantial follow-up time and rigorously monitored and centrally validated events and interventions. In our study, we observed

Table 2. Total duration of follow-up (person-years) spent by men and women in one of seven high CVD risk subgroups^a

High CVD risk group	Men, N (%)	Women, N (%)
Total	314,843 (100.0)	113,821 (100.0)
TC > 6.2 mmol/L (>240 mg/dL)	44,629 (14.2)	15,224 (13.4)
TG > 2.3 mmol/L (>204 mg/dL)	92,397 (29.3)	16,917 (14.9)
Hypertension	75,035 (23.8)	19,195 (16.9)
Previous MI	4206 (1.3)	359 (0.0)
Diabetes	17,226 (5.5)	4170 (3.7)
Age > 50 years	99,911 (31.7)	19,866 (17.5)
CVD risk score >10%	86,425 (27.5)	4990 (4.4)

CVD, cardiovascular disease; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; MI, myocardial infarction.

^aProportions are not mutually exclusive.

that women had a lower overall CVD risk at baseline, that blood pressure was more likely to be monitored in women, and that initiation rates of CVD interventions were generally lower in women than in men. This was also true for most CVD interventions when analyses were restricted to periods of follow-up during which each person was at high CVD risk. In fully adjusted models, women were less likely than men to receive LLDs, ACEIs and ICPs, although conversely were more likely to receive anti-hypertensives.

We identified subgroups of study participants who we believed would be considered to be at higher CVD risk and in whom monitoring and interventions for CVD might be appropriate. Where women were deemed to be at high CVD risk, this was most commonly due to the presence of hypertension and/or triglyceridemia, or because of older age. The relatively high proportion of time that women spent with hypertension likely reflects the higher proportion of those of black African ethnicity, a known risk factor for hypertension [41], among women than men. When restricting the analysis only to periods of follow-up during which an individual was in one of the high CVD risk subgroups, women generally had lower initiation rates than men for most CVD interventions. Among younger, pre-menopausal women, it would not be surprising to see lower initiation rates, reflecting the lower overall CVD risk. However, as women age, their CVD risk becomes more similar to that of men [10–16] and thus we might have expected more similar initiation rates of CVD interventions in the older age group. While only a relatively low proportion of follow-up time among women was contributed by those with a CVD risk score >10%, a higher proportion of follow-up time in women could not be categorized due to missing data on




Figure 1. Unadjusted rates of initiation (/100 PYRS) of CVD interventions in women and men, total follow-up period.

Table 3. Rates of initiating LLD, ACEIs, anti-hypertensive drugs and ICPs in men and women in high risk subgroups of the population

Risk subgroup	LLD			ACEIs			Anti-hypertensives			ICPs			Anti-hypertensives OR ACEIs		
	No. initiating/ PYRS	Rate (95% CI)/ 100 PYRS		No. initiating/ PYRS	Rate (95% CI)/ 100 PYRS		No. initiating/ PYRS	Rate (95% CI)/ 100 PYRS		No. initiating/ PYRS	Rate (95% CI)/ 100 PYRS		No. initiating/ PYRS	Rate (95% CI)/ 100 PYRS	
High TC	M 3045/31,383	9.70 (9.36, 10.05)		854/40,141	2.13 (1.99, 2.27)		961/39,105	2.46 (2.30, 2.61)		275/44,118	0.62 (0.55, 0.70)		1263/37,343	3.38 (3.20, 3.57)	
	F 764/11,948	6.39 (5.94, 6.85)		206/13,971	1.47 (1.27, 1.68)		241/13,275	1.82 (1.59, 2.05)		24/15,172	0.16 (0.10, 0.22)		306/12,869	2.38 (2.11, 2.64)	
High TG	M 3533/66,036	5.35 (5.17, 5.53)		1599/81,811	1.96 (1.86, 2.05)		1760/79,319	2.22 (2.12, 2.32)		452/90,461	0.50 (0.45, 0.55)		2287/75,551	3.03 (2.910, 3.15)	
	F 539/13,511	3.99 (3.65, 4.33)		216/15,508	1.39 (1.21, 1.58)		284/14,784	1.92 (1.70, 2.14)		28/16,811	0.17 (0.11, 0.23)		344/14,310	2.40 (2.15, 2.66)	
Hyper-tension	M 2078/49,162	4.23 (4.05, 4.41)		2598/48,266	5.38 (5.18, 5.59)		2390/40,624	5.88 (5.65, 6.12)		489/70,760	0.69 (0.63, 0.75)		2729/30,875	8.84 (8.51, 9.17)	
	F 414/15,005	2.76 (2.49, 3.03)		597/13,305	4.49 (4.13, 4.85)		592/8662	6.83 (6.28, 7.39)		43/18,836	0.23 (0.16, 0.30)		618/6765	9.14 (8.42, 9.86)	
Previous MI	M 143/671	21.31 (17.82, 24.80)		144/1758	8.19 (6.85, 9.53)		157/858	18.30 (15.44, 21.16)		97/1045	9.28 (7.44, 11.13)		149/701	21.26 (17.84, 24.67)	
	F 16/108	14.82 (7.56, 22.07)		18/169	10.65 (6.31, 16.83)		15/93	16.13 (9.03, 24.29)		5/94	5.32 (1.73, 12.42)		12/87	13.79 (7.13, 24.09)	
Diabetes	M 650/9059	7.18 (6.62, 7.73)		568/11,966	4.75 (4.36, 5.14)		532/11,535	4.61 (4.22, 5.00)		148/16,297	0.91 (0.76, 1.05)		680/9701	7.01 (6.48, 7.54)	
	F 132/2757	4.79 (3.97, 5.61)		101/3212	3.14 (2.53, 3.76)		108/2890	3.74 (3.03, 4.44)		13/4117	0.32 (0.17, 0.54)		140/2583	5.42 (4.52, 6.32)	
Over 50 years	M 2921/69,055	4.23 (4.08, 4.38)		2216/82,105	2.70 (2.59, 2.81)		2523/77,655	3.25 (3.12, 3.38)		594/96,327	0.62 (0.57, 0.67)		3147/71,696	4.39 (4.24, 4.54)	
	F 527/15,055	3.50 (3.20, 3.80)		377/16,904	2.23 (2.01, 2.46)		442/15,366	2.88 (2.61, 3.15)		42/19,627	0.21 (0.15, 0.28)		546/14,438	3.78 (3.46, 4.10)	
Moderate/high 10-year CVD risk	M 3310/55,991	5.91 (5.71, 6.11)		2263/69,858	3.24 (3.11, 3.37)		2372/66,247	3.58 (3.44, 3.73)		636/82,088	0.78 (0.72, 0.84)		3029/60,804	4.98 (4.80, 5.16)	
	F 242/2993	8.09 (7.07, 9.10)		164/3787	4.33 (3.67, 4.99)		167/3358	4.97 (4.22, 5.73)		27/4698	0.58 (0.36, 0.79)		199/3028	6.57 (5.66, 7.49)	

M, male; F, female; LLDs, lipid lowering drugs; ACEIs, Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ICPs, invasive cardiovascular procedures; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; MI, myocardial infarction; CVD, cardiovascular disease.




Figure 2. Relative rates of receipt of CVD interventions in women compared to men. Multivariate model adjusted for: Age, calendar year, body mass index, total cholesterol, triglycerides, hypertension, previous myocardial infarction, race, smoking status, AIDS, cardiovascular disease (CVD) family history, stroke, diabetes and CVD risk score >10% (individuals having a moderate or high Framingham CVD risk score). CVD, cardiovascular disease; RR, relative rate; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; LLDs, lipid lowering drugs; ACEIs, Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; ICPs, invasive cardiovascular procedures. **p* < 0.05.

one or more components of the score. Hence, this “high-risk” category may miss women with a genuinely high risk who had not been identified as such. Although the initiation rates of LLDs, ACEIs and anti-hypertensives for women with a CVD risk score >10% were higher in women than for men, we do not think that we can focus solely on this high risk group, as the other separate high CVD risk indicators should also prompt concern about high CVD risk. Furthermore, these unadjusted rates did not take into account differences in other characteristics.

In fully adjusted models, women in our study were less likely than men to receive LLDs, ACEIs and ICPs, and of these interventions least likely to receive ICPs. Several other studies from the general population have also demonstrated that women are less likely to receive diagnostic and therapeutic invasive CVD-interventions than men [11,12,16,20,30-34], and that women have higher mortality after invasive procedures [16,27-29]. Women are less likely to have ST-elevation myocardial infarction and more likely to have either none or atypical symptoms at the time of MI [42-46], possibly partly explained by female-specific characteristics in macro- and microvasculature [47-49]. For stroke, women tend to present with more generalized symptoms such as dizziness, headache, disorientation and changes in consciousness as well as other atypical symptoms compared to men [16]. These more subtle and heterogeneous clinical presentations, in addition to potential gender-related differences in diagnostic biomarkers and social factors, may complicate and/or delay the diagnosis and management of MI and stroke in women [16,32,33,42,50-53]. Furthermore, at the time of MI and stroke, women also tend to be older, to have more severe CVD risk profiles and more comorbidities [10-16,42,54] than men, which may also

complicate interventions and contribute to a poorer prognosis. Finally, lesser use of invasive CVD-interventions in women may also be due to differences in angiographic features; women are not always eligible for treatment with stents or grafts due to microvascular rather than obstructive coronary disease, as well as lesser degree of carotid stenosis which is less suitable for stenting [16,31,49].

In contrast to the receipt of LLDs, ACEIs and ICPs, women in our study were more likely to receive anti-hypertensives than men. The increased use of anti-hypertensives appeared to be driven by women with hypertension and a CVD risk score >10%. As ACEIs are partly used as anti-hypertensives, the gender difference in the use of ACEIs was expectedly reduced after controlling for hypertension, and the difference between men and women was less marked when the combined class of anti-hypertensives or ACEIs was considered, with the lower uptake in women for ACEIs being counter-balanced by the higher uptake of anti-hypertensives in this group. Supported by the slightly higher monitoring rates for blood pressure that we observed in women, our findings argue that hypertension might have more focus in clinical practice. This may relate to hypertension being one of the more common CVD risk factors in women [42,54], to increased monitoring among pregnant women and women on contraceptives, as well as the relatively large proportion of women being of black African origin.

4.1 | Limitations

While we capture information on many CVD risk factors, some CVD risk factors and preventive CVD-interventions (e.g. smoking cessation in smokers, advice on diet, exercise and the use of over-the-counter drugs such as aspirin) as well as some

female-specific factors (e.g. pregnancy, menopausal status, hormone supplementation and contraceptives) are not captured in our dataset. For this reason, we did not aim to identify specific individuals in whom interventions would be certain to be recommended or to assess whether any such recommendations were appropriate, but simply used the data to identify groups at higher CVD risk in whom awareness of CVD risk and regular monitoring should be greater. It is possible that some individuals may not have accepted any interventions they were offered, or that provider or health system-related factors, (e.g. availability of specialized cardiac care), may have influenced our results, possibilities we are unable to investigate due to the nature of the dataset. Although we believe that our detailed query processes and monitoring activities contribute to minimize ascertainment bias, we cannot exclude the possibility that there may be under- or delayed-ascertainment of the receipt of CVD interventions or that this information may be less readily available in women than men. A relatively small proportion of follow-up time among women was contributed by those at moderate or high CVD risk, but still represented around 5000 PYRS, and our results suggest that the study is not under-powered to detect effects. Finally, although the differences observed are intriguing, we are unable to investigate the reasons for these gender differences.

5 | CONCLUSION

In our study, HIV-positive women were less likely than men to receive most CVD-related interventions, with the exception of anti-hypertensive drugs. These findings are mostly consistent with those from the general population.

The reasons why women are less likely to receive interventions than men are multiple, but insufficient monitoring and awareness of CVD risk in women, and the more heterogeneous clinical presentations of CVD probably play a major role. As HIV-positive individuals in general are at higher risk of CVD further efforts are needed to ensure that both women and men are appropriately monitored for CVD risk and, if required, receive relevant CVD-related interventions. Furthermore studies are warranted on why these gender related differences exist in the prevention and management of CVD in HIV-positive individuals.

AUTHORS' AFFILIATIONS

¹Department of Infectious Diseases Section 2100, CHIP, University of Copenhagen, Finsencentret, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen, Denmark; ²ICAP-Columbia University and Harlem Hospital, New York, NY, USA; ³Institute for Global Health, UCL, London, United Kingdom; ⁴Academic Medical Center, Department of Global Health and Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Amsterdam, HIV Monitoring Foundation, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ⁵Division of Infectious Diseases, Saint Pierre University Hospital, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium; ⁶CHU de Bordeaux and INSERM U897, Université de Bordeaux, Talence, France; ⁷Department of Public Health, Nice University Hospital, Nice, France; ⁸Dipartimento di Scienze della Salute, Clinica di Malattie Infettive e Tropicali, Azienda Ospedaliera-Polo Universitario San Paolo, Milan, Italy; ⁹Division of infectious diseases and hospital epidemiology, University hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; ¹⁰Kirby Institute, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, Australia

COMPETING INTERESTS

Amanda Mocroft has received travel support, honoraria, speaker fees and/or lecture fees from BMS, Gilead, ViiV, Pfizer, Merck, BI and Wragge LLC.

Peter Reiss has through his institution received independent scientific grant support from Gilead Sciences, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc, Merck & Co, Bristol-Myers Squibb and ViiV Healthcare; he has served on a scientific advisory board for Gilead Sciences and a data safety monitoring committee for Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc; he chaired a scientific symposium by ViiV Healthcare, for which his institution has received remuneration.

Christian Pradier reports non-financial support from JANSSEN, personal fees from GILEAD, non-financial support from ViiV HEALTH CARE, non-financial support from MSD, outside the submitted work.

Antonella d'Arminio Monforte has received grants for advisory boards or lectures by Abbvie, BMS, Gilead, Janssen, MSD, ViiV

Matthew Law has received unrestricted grants from Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead Sciences, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen-Cilag, ViiV HealthCare. Consultancy payments from Gilead Sciences DSMB sitting fees from Sirtex Pty Ltd

Caroline Sabin has received honoraria for the membership of Data Safety and Monitoring Boards, Advisory Boards and Speaker Panels from Gilead Sciences, ViiV Healthcare and Janssen-Cilag. She has received funding to support the development of educational materials from Gilead Sciences and ViiV Healthcare.

Camilla Ingrid Hatleberg, Lene Ryom, Wafaa El-Sadr, Helen Kovari, Francois Dabis, Stephane de Wit and Jens Lundgren have no disclosures to declare.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Author contributions: C.I.H, L.R., J.D.L. and C.S. developed the initial analysis protocol. C.I.H and L.R. performed study co-ordination and prepared the datasets for analysis, C.S. performed the statistical analysis. C.I.H. prepared the first draft of the manuscript and completed all revisions. L.R., J.D.L. and C.S. provided critical input at all stages of the preparation of the manuscript. W.E.S., A.M., P.R., S.D.W., F.D., C.P., A.D.M., H.K., M. L. provided data and revised the manuscript critically. All authors have provided input at all stages of the project and approved the final version.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS D:A:D STUDY GROUP

D:A:D PARTICIPATING COHORTS

Aquitaine, France; CPCRA, USA; NICE Cohort, France; ATHENA, The Netherlands; EuroSIDA, Europe; SHCS, Switzerland, AHOD, Australia; HIV-BIVUS, Sweden; St. Pierre Brussels Cohort, Belgium; BASS, Spain, The ICONA Foundation, Italy

D:A:D STEERING COMMITTEE

Names marked with *, Chair with †

Cohort Pls: W El-Sadr* (CPCRA), G Calvo* (BASS), F Bonnet and F Dabis* (Aquitaine), O Kirk* and A Mocroft* (EuroSIDA), M Law* (AHOD), A d'Arminio Monforte* (ICONA), L Morfeldt* (HivBIVUS), C Pradier* (Nice), P Reiss* (ATHENA), R Weber* (SHCS), S De Wit* (Brussels)

COHORT COORDINATORS AND DATA MANAGERS

A Lind-Thomsen (coordinator), R Salbøl Brandt, M Hillebregt, S Zaheri, FWNM Wit (ATHENA), A Scherrer, F Schöni-Affolter, M Rickenbach (SHCS), A Tavelli, I Fanti (ICONA), O Leleux, J Mourali, F Le Marec, E Boerg (Aquitaine), E Thulin, A Sundström (HIVBIVUS), G Bartsch, G Thompsen (CPCRA), C Necsoi, M Delforge (Brussels), E Fontas, C Caisotti, K Dollet (Nice), S Mateu, F Torres (BASS), K Petoumenos, A Blance, R Huang, R Pühr (AHOD), K Grønberg Laut, D Kristensen (EuroSIDA)

STATISTICIANS

CA Sabin*, AN Phillips*, DA Kamara, CJ Smith, A Mocroft*

D:A:D coordinating office: CI Hatleberg, L Ryom, A Lind-Thomsen, RS Brandt, D Raben, C Matthews, A Bojesen, AL Grevsen, JD Lundgren*†

MEMBER OF THE D:A:D OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

B Powderly*, N Shortman*, C Moeklinghoff*, G Reilly*, X Franquet*

D:A:D WORKING GROUP EXPERTS

Kidney: L Ryom, A Mocroft*, O Kirk*, P Reiss*, C Smit, M Ross, CA Fux, P Morlat, E Fontas, DA Kamara, CJ Smith, JD Lundgren*†

Mortality: CJ Smith, L Ryom, CI Hatleberg, AN Phillips*, R Weber*, P Morlat, C Pradier*, P Reiss*, FWNM Wit, N Friis-Møller, J Kowalska, JD Lundgren*†

Cancer: CA Sabin*, L Ryom, CI Hatleberg, M Law*, A d'Arminio Monforte*, F Dabis*, F Bonnet*, P Reiss*, FWNM Wit, CJ Smith, DA Kamara, J Bohlius, M Bower, G Fätkenheuer, A Grulich, JD Lundgren*†

EXTERNAL ENDPOINT REVIEWERS

A Sjøel (CVD), P Meidahl (oncology), JS Iversen (nephrology)

FUNDING INFORMATION

Grant number DNR126 from the Danish National Research Foundation (CHIP & PERSIMUNE); "Oversight Committee for The Evaluation of Metabolic Complications of HAART" with representatives from academia, patient community, FDA, EMA and a consortium of AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, Viiv Healthcare, Merck and Janssen Pharmaceuticals.

THE CURRENT MEMBERS OF THE 11 COHORTS ARE AS FOLLOWS

ATHENA (AIDS THERAPY EVALUATION PROJECT NETHERLANDS)

Central coordination: P Reiss*, S Zehri, M Hillebregt, FWNM Wit;

CLINICAL CENTRES († denotes site coordinating physician) Academic Medical Centre of the University of Amsterdam: JM Prins, TW Kuijpers, HJ Scherpbier, JTM van der Meer, FWNM Wit, MH Godfried, P Reiss, T van der Poll, FJB Nellen, SE Geerlings, M van Vugt, D Pajkrt, JC Bos, WJ Wiersinga, M van der Valk, A Goorhuis, JW Hovius, J van Eden, A Henderiks, AMH van Hes, M Mutschelknauss, HE Nobel, FJJ Pijnappel, S Jurriaans, NKT Back, HL Zaaier, B Berkhout, MTE Cornelissen, CJ Schinkel, XV Thomas, A De Ruyter Ziekenhuis, Goes: M van den Berge, A Stegeman, S Baas, L Hage de Looft, D Versteeg, C Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven: MJH Pronk, HSM Ammerlaan, E de Munnik, AR Jansz, J Tjihie, MCA Wegdam, B Deiman, V Scharnhorst, Emma Kinderziekenhuis: A van der Plas, AM Weijzenfeld, Erasmus MC, Rotterdam: ME van der Ende, TEMS de Vries-Sluijs, ECM van Gorp, CAM Schurink, JL Nouwen, A Verbon, BJA Rijnders, HI Bax, M van der Feltz, N Bassant, JEA van Beek, M Vriesde, LM van Zonneveld, A de Oude-Lubbers, HJ van den Berg-Cameron, FB Bruinsma-Broekman, J de Groot, M de Zeeuw-de Man, CAB Boucher, MPG Koopmans, JJA van Kampen, SD Pas, Erasmus MC–Sophia, Rotterdam: GJA Driessen, AMC van Rossum, LC van der Knaap, E Visser Flevoziekenhuis, Almere: J Branger, A Rijkeboer-Mes, CJHM Duijf-van de Ven, HagaZiekenhuis, Den Haag: EF Schippers, C van Nieuwkoop, JM van IJperen, J Geilings, G van der Hut, PFH Franck, HIV Focus Centrum (DC Klinieken): A van Eeden, W Brokking, M Groot, LJM Elsenburg, M Damen, IS Kwa Isala, Zwolle: PHP Groeneveld, JW Bouwhuis, JF van den Berg, AGW van Hulzen, GL van der Blik, PCJ Bor, P Bloembergen, MJHM Wolfhagen, GJHM Ruijs, Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Leiden: FP Kroon, MGJ de Boer, MP Bauer, H Jolink, AM Vollaard, W Dorama, N van Holten, ECJ Claas, E Wessels, Maasstad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam: JG den Hollander, K Pogany, A Roukens, M Kastelijns, JV Smit, E Smit, D Struik-Kalkman, C Tearno, M Bezemer, T van Niekerk, O Pontesilli, Maastricht UMC+, Maastricht: SH Lowe, AML Oude Lashof, D Posthouwer, RP Ackens, J Schippers, R Vergoossen, B Weijenbergh-Maes, IHM van Loo, TRA Havenith, MCH-Bronovo, Den Haag: EMS Leyten, LBS Gelinck, A van Hartingsveld, C Meerkerk, GS Wildenbeest, JAEM Mutsaers, CL Jansen, MC Slotervaart, Amsterdam: JW Mulder, SME Vrouwenraets, FN Lauw, MC van Broekhuizen, H Paap, DJ Vlasblom, PHM Smits, MC Zuiderzee, Lelystad: S Weijer, R El Moussaoui, AS Bosma, Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden: MGA van Vonderend, DPF van Houte, LM Kampschreur, K Dijkstra, S Faber, J Weel, Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede: GJ Kootstra, CE Delsing, M van der Burg-van de Plas, H Heins, E Lucas, Noorwest Ziekenhuisgroep, Alkmaar: W Kortmann, G van Twillert, JWT Cohen Stuart, BMW Diederden, D Pronk, FA van Truijen-Oud, WA van der Reijden, R Jansen, OLVG, Amsterdam: K Brinkman, GEL van den Berk, WL Blok, PHJ Frissen, KD Lettinga, WEM Schouten, J Veenstra, CJ Brouwer, GF Geerders, K Hoeksema, MJ Kleene, IB van der Meché, M Spelbrink, H Sulman, AJM Toonen, S Wijnands, M Damen, D Kwa, E Witte, Radboudumc, Nijmegen: PP Koopmans, M Keuter, AJAM van der Ven, HJM ter Hofstede, ASM Dofferhoff, R van Crevel, M Albers, MEW Bosch, KJT Grintjes-Huisman, BJ Zomer, FF Stelma, J Rahamat-Langendoen, D Burger, Rinjstate, Arnhem: C Richter, EH Gisolf, RJ Hassing, G ter Beest, PHM van Bentum, N Langebeek, R Tiemessen, CMA Swanink, Spaarne Gasthuis, Haarlem: SFL van Lelyveld, R Soetekouw, N Hulshoff, LMM van der Pijlt, J van der Swaluw, N Bermon, WA van der Reijden, R Jansen, BL Herpers, D Veenendaal, Medisch Centrum Jan

van Goyen, Amsterdam: DWM Verhagen, M van Wijk, St Elisabeth Ziekenhuis, Tilburg: MEE van Kasteren, AE Brouwer, BAFM de Kruijff-van de Wiel, M Kuipers, RMWJ Santegoets, B van der Ven, JH Marcelis, AGM Buiting, PJ Kabel, Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen, Groningen: WFW Bierman, H Scholvinck, KR Wilting, Y Stienstra, H de Groot-de Jonge, PA van der Meulen, DA de Weerd, J Ludwig-Roukema, HGM Niesters, A Riezebos-Brilman, CC van Leer-Buter, M Knoester, Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht, Utrecht: AIM Hoepelman, T Mudrikova, PM Ellerbroek, JJ Oosterheer, JE Arends, RE Barth, MWM Wassenberg, EM Schadd, DHM van Elst-Laurijssen, EEB van Oers-Hazelzet, S Vervoort, M van Berkel, R Schuurman, F Verduyn-Lunel, AMJ Wensing, VUmc, Amsterdam: EJG Peters, MA van Agtmael, M Bomers, J de Vocht, M Heitmuller, LM Laan, AM Pettersson, CMJE Vandenbroucke-Grauls, CW Ang, Wilhelmina Kinderziekenhuis, UMCU, Utrecht: SPM Geelen, TFW Wolfs, LJ Bont, N Nauta, COORDINATING CENTRE P Reiss, DO Bezemer, AI van Sighem, C Smit, FWNM Wit, TS Boender, S Zehri, M Hillebregt, A de Jong, D Bergsma, P Hoekstra, A de Lang, S Grivell, A Jansen, MJ Rademaker, M Raethke, R Meijering, S Schönrr, L de Groot, M van den Akker, Y Bakker, E Claessen, A El Berkaoui, J Koops, E Kruijne, C Lodewijk, L Munjshvili, B Peeck, C Ree, R Regtop, Y Ruijs, T Rutkens, L van de Sande, M Schoorl, A Timmerman, E Tuijn, L Veenenberg, S van der Vliet, A Wisse, T Woudstra, B Tuk.

AQUITAINE COHORT (FRANCE)

COMPOSITION DU CONSEIL SCIENTIFIQUE

Coordination: F Bonnet, F Dabis

Scientific committee: M Dupon, V Gaborieau, D Lacoste, D Malvy, P Merlié, P Morlat, D Neau, JL Pellegrin, S Tchamgoué, E Lazaro, C Cazanave, M Vandenhende, MO Vareil, Y Gérard, P Blanco, S Bouchet, D Breilh, H Fleury, I Pellegrin, G Chêne, R Thiébaud, L Wittkop, L Wittkop, O Leleux, S Lawson-Ayayi, A Gimbert, S Desjardin, L Lacaze-Buzy, V Petrov-Sanchez

Epidemiology and Methodology: F Bonnet, G Chêne, F Dabis, R Thiébaud, L Wittkop

Infectious Diseases and Internal Medicine: K André, N Bernard, F Bonnet, O Coubet, L Caunegre, C Cazanave, I Chossat, C Courtault, FA Dauchy, S De Witte, D Dondia, M Dupon, P Duffau, H Dutronc, S Farbos, I Faure, H Ferrand, V Gaborieau, Y Gerard, C Greib, M Hessamfar, Y Imbert, D Lacoste, P Lataste, E Lazaro, D Malvy, J Marie, M Mechain, P Merlié, E Monlun, P Morlat, D Neau, A Ochoa, JL Pellegrin, T Pistone, I Raymond, MC Receveur, P Rispal, L Sorin, S Tchamgoué, C Valette, MA Vandenhende, MO Vareil, JF Viallard, H Wille, G Wirth.

Immunology: I Pellegrin, P Blanco

Virology: H Fleury, ME Lafon, P Trimoulet, P Bellecave, C Tumiotto

Pharmacology: S Bouchet, D Breilh, F Haramburu, G Miremeont-Salamé

Data collection, Project Management and Statistical Analyses: MJ Blaizeau, M Decoin, C Hannapier, E Lenaud et A Pougetoux; S Delveaux, C D'Ivernois, F Diarra, B Uwamaliya-Nziyumvira, O Leleux; F Le Marec, E Boerg, S Lawson-Ayayi;

IT department and eCRF development: G Palmer, V Conte, V Sapparrat

AHOD (AUSTRALIAN HIV OBSERVATIONAL DATABASE, AUSTRALIA)

Central coordination: M Law*, K Petoumenos, R Puh, R Huang (Sydney, New South Wales). Participating physicians (city, state): R Moore, S Edwards, J Hoy, K Watson, N Roth, H Lau (Melbourne, Victoria); M Bloch, D Baker, A Carr, D Cooper, (Sydney, New South Wales); M O'Sullivan (Gold Coast, Queensland), D Nolan, G Guelfi (Perth, Western Australia).

BASS (SPAIN)

Central coordination: G Calvo, F Torres, S Mateu (Barcelona);

Participating physicians (city): P Domingo, MA Sambeat, J Gatell, E Del Cacho, J Cadafalch, M Fuster (Barcelona); C Codina, G Sirera, A Vaque (Badalona).

THE BRUSSELS ST PIERRE COHORT (BELGIUM)

Coordination: S De Wit*, N Clumeck, M Delforge, C Necsoi.

Participating physicians: N Clumeck, S De Wit*, AF Gennotte, M Gerard, K Kabeya, D Konopnicki, A Libois, C Martin, MC Payen, P Semaille, Y Van Laethem.

CPCRA (USA)

Central coordination: J Neaton, G Bartsch, WM El-Sadr*, E Krum, G Thompson, D Wentworth;

Participating physicians (city, state): R Luskin-Hawk (Chicago, Illinois); E Telzak (Bronx, New York); WM El-Sadr (Harlem, New York); DI Abrams (San Francisco, California); D Cohn (Denver, Colorado); N Markowitz (Detroit, Michigan); R Arduino (Houston, Texas); D Mushatt (New Orleans, Louisiana); G Friedland (New Haven, Connecticut); G Perez (Newark, New Jersey); E Tedaldi (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania); E Fisher (Richmond, Virginia); F Gordin (Washington, DC); LR Crane (Detroit, Michigan); J Sampson (Portland, Oregon); J Baxter (Camden, New Jersey).

EUROSIDA (MULTINATIONAL)

Steering Committee: J Gatell, B Gazzard, A Horban, I Karpov, M Losso, A d'Arminio Monforte, C Pedersen, M Ristola, A Phillips, P Reiss, J Lundgren, J Rockstroh

Chair: J Rockstroh

Study Co-leads: A Mocroft, O Kirk

Coordinating Centre Staff: O Kirk, L Peters, C Matthews, AH Fischer, A Bojesen, D Raben, D Kristensen, K Grønberg Laut, JF Larsen, D Podlekareva

Statistical Staff: A Mocroft, A Phillips, A Cozzi-Lepri, L Shepherd, A Schultze, S Amele

The multi-centre study group, EuroSIDA (national coordinators in parenthesis).

Argentina: (M Losso), M Kundro, Hospital JM Ramos Mejia, Buenos Aires.

Austria: (B Schmied), Pulmologisches Zentrum der Stadt Wien, Vienna; R Zangerle, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck.

Belarus: (I Karpov), A Vassilenko, Belarus State Medical University, Minsk, VM Mityura, Gomel State Medical University, Gomel; D Paduto, Regional AIDS Centre, Svetlogorsk.

Belgium: (N Clumeck), S De Wit, M Delforge, Saint-Pierre Hospital, Brussels; E Florence, Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp; L Vandekerckhove, University Ziekenhuis Gent, Gent.

Bosnia-Herzegovina: (V Hadziosmanovic), Klinicki Centar Univerziteta Sarajevo, Sarajevo.

Croatia: (J Begovac), University Hospital of Infectious Diseases, Zagreb.

Czech Republic: (L Machala), D Jilich, Faculty Hospital Bulovka, Prague; D Sedlacek, Charles University Hospital, Plzen.

Denmark: G Kronborg, T Benfield, Hvidovre Hospital, Copenhagen; J Gerstoft, T Katzenstein, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen; NF Møller, C Pedersen, Odense University Hospital, Odense; L Ostergaard, Skejby Hospital, Aarhus, L Wiese, Roskilde Hospital, Roskilde; LN Nielsen, Hillerød Hospital, Hillerød.

Estonia: (K Zilmer), West-Tallinn Central Hospital, Tallinn; Jelena Smidt, Nakkusosakond Sisekliinik, Kohtla-Järve.

Finland: (M Ristola), I Aho, Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki.

France: (J-P Viard), Hôtel-Dieu, Paris; P-M Girard, Hospital Saint-Antoine, Paris; C Pradier, E Fontas, Hôpital de l'Archet, Nice; C Duvivier, Hôpital Necker-Enfants Malades, Paris.

Germany: (J Rockstroh), Universitäts Klinik Bonn; R Schmidt, Medizinische Hochschule Hannover; O Degen, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Infectious Diseases Unit, Hamburg; HJ Stellbrink, IPM Study Center, Hamburg; C Stefan, JW Goethe University Hospital, Frankfurt; J Bogner, Medizinische Poliklinik, Munich; G Fätkenheuer, Universität Köln, Cologne.

Georgia: (N Chkhartishvili) Infectious Diseases, AIDS & Clinical Immunology Research Center, Tbilisi

Greece: (P Gargalianos), G Xylomenos, K Armenis, Athens General Hospital "G Gennimatas"; H Sambatakou, Ippokraton General Hospital, Athens.

Hungary: (J Szilávik), Szent László Hospital, Budapest.

Iceland: (M Gottfredsson), Landspítali University Hospital, Reykjavik.

Ireland: (F Mulcahy), St. James's Hospital, Dublin.

Israel: (I Yust), D Turner, M Burke, Ichilov Hospital, Tel Aviv; E Shahar, G Hasoun, Rambam Medical Center, Haifa; H Elinav, M Haouzi, Hadassah University Hospital, Jerusalem; D Elbirt, ZM Sthoeger, AIDS Center (Neve Or), Jerusalem.

Italy: (A d'Arminio Monforte), Istituto Di Clinica Malattie Infettive e Tropicale, Milan; R Esposito, I Mazeu, C Mussini, Università Modena, Modena; F Mazzotta, A Gabbuti, Ospedale S Maria Annunziata, Firenze; V Vullo, M Lichtner, University of Roma la Sapienza, Rome; M Zaccarelli, A Antinori, R Acinapura, M Plazzi, Istituto Nazionale Malattie Infettive Lazzaro Spallanzani, Rome; A Lazzarin, A Castagna, N Gianotti, Ospedale San Raffaele, Milan; M Galli, A Ridolfo, Osp. L. Sacco, Milan.

Latvia: (B Rozentale), Infectology Centre of Latvia, Riga.

Lithuania: (V Uzdaviniene) Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos, Vilnius; R Matulionyte, Center of Infectious Diseases, Vilnius University Hospital Santariskiu Klinikos, Vilnius.

Luxembourg: (T Staub), R Hemmer, Centre Hospitalier, Luxembourg.

Netherlands: (P Reiss), Academisch Medisch Centrum bij de Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam.

Norway: (V Ormaasen), A Maeland, J Bruun, Ullevål Hospital, Oslo.

Poland: (B Knysz), J Gasiorowski, M Inglot, Medical University, Wrocław; A Horban, E Bakowska, Centrum Diagnostyki i Terapii AIDS, Warsaw; R Flisiak, A Grzeszczuk, Medical University, Białystok; M Parczewski, K Maciejewska, B Aksak-Was, Medical University, Szczecin; M Beniowski, E Mularska, Osrodek Diagnostyki i Terapii AIDS, Chorzow; T Smiatcz, M Gensing, Medical University, Gdansk; E Jablonowska, E Malolepsza, K Wojcik, Wojewodzki Szpital Specjalistyczny, Lodz; I Mozer-Lisewska, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan.

Portugal: (L Caldeira), Hospital Santa Maria, Lisbon; K Mansinho, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Lisbon; F Maltez, Hospital Curry Cabral, Lisbon.

Romania: (R Radoi), C Oprea, Spitalul de Boli Infectioase si Tropicale: Dr. Victor Babes, Bucurest.

Russia: (A Panteleev), O Panteleev, St Petersburg AIDS Centre, St Peterburg; A Yakovlev, Medical Academy Botkin Hospital, St Petersburg; T Trofimova, Novgorod Centre for AIDS, Novgorod, I Khromova, Centre for HIV/AIDS & Infectious Diseases, Kaliningrad; E Kuzovatova, Nizhny Novgorod Scientific and Research Institute of Epidemiology and Microbiology named after Academician I.N. Blokhina, Nizhny Novgorod; E Borodulina, E Vdoushkina, Samara State Medical University, Samara.

Serbia: (D Jevtovic), The Institute for Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Belgrade.

Slovenia: (J Tomazic), University Clinical Centre Ljubljana, Ljubljana.

Spain: (JM Gatell), JM Miró, Hospital Clinic Universitari de Barcelona, Barcelona; S Moreno, JM Rodriguez, Hospital Ramon y Cajal, Madrid; B Clotet, A Jou, R Paredes, C Tural, J Puig, I Bravo, Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona; P Domingo, M Gutierrez, G Mateo, M Sambeat, Hospital Sant Pau, Barcelona; JM Laporte, Hospital Universitario de Alava, Vitoria-Gasteiz.

Sweden: (K Falconer), A Thalmé, A Sonnerborg, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm; A Blaxhult, Venhälsan-Sodersjukhuset, Stockholm; L Flamholc, Malmö University Hospital, Malmö.

Switzerland: (A Scherrer), R Weber, University Hospital Zurich; M Cavassini, University Hospital Lausanne; A Calmy, University Hospital Geneva; H Furrer, University Hospital Bern; M Battegay, University Hospital Basel; P Schmid, Cantonal Hospital St. Gallen.

Ukraine: A Kuznetsova, Kharkov State Medical University, Kharkov; G Kyselyova, Crimean Republican AIDS centre, Simferopol; M Sluzhynska, Lviv Regional HIV/AIDS Prevention and Control CTR, Lviv.

United Kingdom: (B Gazzard), St. Stephen's Clinic, Chelsea and Westminster Hospital, London; AM Johnson, E Simons, S Edwards, Mortimer Market Centre, London; A Phillips, MA Johnson, A Mocroft, Royal Free and University College Medical School, London (Royal Free Campus); C Orkin, Royal London Hospital, London; J Weber, G Scullard, Imperial College School of Medicine at St. Mary's, London; A Clarke, Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton; C Leen, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh.

The following centers have previously contributed data to EuroSIDA:

Infectious Diseases Hospital, Sofia, Bulgaria.

Hôpital de la Croix Rousse, Lyon, France.

Hôpital de la Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France.

Unité INSERM, Bordeaux, France.

Hôpital Edouard Herriot, Lyon, France.

Bernhard Nocht Institut für Tropenmedizin, Hamburg, Germany.

1st I.K.A Hospital of Athens, Athens, Greece.

Ospedale Riuniti, Divisione Malattie Infettive, Bergamo, Italy.

Ospedale di Bolzano, Divisione Malattie Infettive, Bolzano, Italy.

Ospedale Cotugno, III Divisione Malattie Infettive, Napoli, Italy.

Dérer Hospital, Bratislava, Slovakia.

Hospital Carlos III, Departamento de Enfermedades Infecciosas, Madrid, Spain.

Kiev Centre for AIDS, Kiev, Ukraine.

Luhansk State Medical University, Luhansk, Ukraine.

Odessa Region AIDS Center, Odessa, Ukraine.

HIVBIVUS (SWEDEN)

Central coordination: L Morfeldt, G Thulin, A Sundström.

Participating physicians (city): B Åkerlund (Huddinge); K Koppel, A Karlsson (Stockholm); L Flamholc, C Håkangård (Malmö).

THE ICONA FOUNDATION (ITALY)

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A d'Arminio Monforte (President), A Antinori, A Castagna, F Castelli, R Cauda, G Di Perri, M Galli, R Iardino, G Ippolito, GC Marchetti, CF Perno, F von Schloesser, P Viale

SCIENTIFIC SECRETARY

A d'Arminio Monforte, A Antinori, A Castagna, F Ceccherini-Silberstein, A Cozzi-Lepri, E Girardi, S Lo Caputo, C Mussini, M Puoti

STEERING COMMITTEE

M Andreoni, A Ammassari, A Antinori, C Balotta, A Bandera, P Bonfanti, S Bonora, M Borderi, A Calcagno, L Calza, MR Capobianchi, A Castagna, F Ceccherini-Silberstein, A Cingolani, P Cinque, A Cozzi-Lepri, A d'Arminio Monforte, A De Luca, A Di Biagio, E Girardi, N Gianotti, A Gori, G Guaraldi, G Lapadula, M Lichtner, S Lo Caputo, G Madeddu, F Maggiolo, G Marchetti, S Marcotullio, L Monno, C Mussini, S Nozza, M Puoti, E Quiros Roldan, R Rossotti, S Rusconi, MM Santoro, A Saracino, M Zaccarelli.

STATISTICAL AND MONITORING TEAM

A Cozzi-Lepri, I Fanti, L Galli, P Lorenzini, A Rodano, M Shanyinde, A Tavelli

BIOLOGICAL BANK INMI

F Carletti, S Carrara, A Di Caro, S Graziano, F Petrone, G Prota, S Quartu, S Truffa

PARTICIPATING PHYSICIANS AND CENTERS

Italy A Giacometti, A Costantini, V Barocci (Ancona); G Angarano, L Monno, C Santoro (Bari); F Maggiolo, C Suardi (Bergamo); P Viale, V Donati, G Verucchi (Bologna); F Castelli, C Minardi, E Quiros Roldan (Brescia); T Quirino, C Abeli (Busto Arsizio); PE Manconi, P Piano (Cagliari); B Cacopardo, B Celesia (Catania); J Vecchiet, K Falasca (Chieti); A Pan, S Lorenzotti (Cremona); L Sighinolfi, D Segala (Ferrara); F Mazzotta, F Vichi (Firenze); G Cassola, C Viscoli, A Alessandrini, N Bobbio, G Mazarrello (Genova); C Mastroianni, V Belvisi (Latina); P Bonfanti, I Caramma (Lecco); A Chiodera, P Milini (Macerata); A d'Arminio Monforte, M Galli, A Lazzarin, G Rizzardini, M Puoti, A Castagna, G Marchetti, MC Moiola, R Piolini, AL Ridolfo, S Salpietro, C Tincati, (Milano); C Mussini, C Puzzolante (Modena); A Gori, G Lapadula (Monza); N Abrescia, A Chiriani, G Borgia, R Orlando, G Bonadies, F Di Martino, I Gentile, L Maddaloni (Napoli); AM Cattelan, S Marinello (Padova); A Cascio, C Colomba (Palermo); P Baldelli, E Schiaroli (Perugia); G Parruti, F Sozio (Pescara); G Magnani, MA Ursitti (Reggio Emilia); M Andreoni, A Antinori, R Cauda, A Cristaudo, V Vullo, R Acinapura, G Baldin, M Capozzi, S Cicalini, A Cingolani, L Fontanelli Sulekova, G Iaiani, A Latini, I Mastrorosa, MM Plazzi, S Savinelli, A Vergori (Roma); M Cecchetto, F Viviani (Rovigo); G Madeddu, P Bagella (Sassari); A De Luca, B Rossetti (Siena); A Franco, R Fontana Del Vecchio (Siracusa); D Francisci, C Di Giulii (Terni); P Caramello, G Di Perri, S Bonora, GC Orofino, M Sciandra (Torino); M Bassetti, A Londero (Udine); G Pellizzer, V Manfrin (Vicenza), G Starnini, A Ialungo (Viterbo).

NICE HIV COHORT (FRANCE)

Central coordination: C Pradier*, E Fontas, K Dollet, C Caissotti.

Participating physicians: P Dellamonica, E Bernard, J Courjon, E Cua, F De Salvador-Guillouet, J Durant, C Etienne, S Ferrando, V Mondain-Miton, A Naqvi, I Perbost, S Pillet, B Prouvost-Keller, P Pugliese, V Rio, K Risso, PM Roger.

SHCS (SWISS HIV COHORT STUDY, SWITZERLAND)

The data are gathered by the Five Swiss University Hospitals, two Cantonal Hospitals, 15 affiliated hospitals and 36 private physicians (listed in <http://www.shcs.ch/180-health-care-providers>).

MEMBERS OF THE SWISS HIV COHORT STUDY

V Aubert, M Battegay, E Bernasconi, J Böni, DL Braun, Hc Bucher, A Calmy, M Cavassini, A Ciuffi, G Dollenmaier, M Egger, L Elzi, J Fehr, J Fellay, H Furrer (Chairman of the Clinical and Laboratory Committee), CA Fux, HF Günthard (President of the SHCS), D Haerry (deputy of "Positive Council"), B Hasse, HH Hirsch, M Hoffmann, I Hösli, C Kahlert, L Kaiser, O Keiser, T Klimkait, RD Kouyou, H Kovari, B Ledergerber, G Martinetti, B Martinez de Tejada, C Marzolini, KJ Metzner, N Müller, D Nicca, G Pantaleo, P Paioni, A Rauch (Chairman of the Scientific Board), C Rudin (Chairman of the Mother & Child Substudy), AU Scherrer (Head of Data Centre), P Schmid, R Speck, M Stöckle, P Tarr, A Trkola, P Vernazza, G Wandeler, R Weber*, S Yerly.

FINANCIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The D:A:D study was supported by a grant [grant number DNR126] from the Danish National Research Foundation (CHIP & PERSIMUNE); the Highly Active Antiretroviral Therapy Oversight Committee (HAARTOC), a collaborative committee with representation from academic institutions, the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products, the United States Food and Drug Administration, the patient community, and pharmaceutical companies with licensed anti-HIV drugs in the European Union: AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences Inc., Viiv Healthcare, Merck & Co Inc. and Janssen Pharmaceuticals. Supported also by a grant from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport through the Center for Infectious Disease Control of the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment to Sticing HIV Monitoring (ATHENA); by a grant from the Agence nationale de recherches sur le sida et les hépatites virales [ANRS, Action Coordonnée no. 7, Cohortes] to the Aquitaine Cohort; The Australian HIV Observational Database (AHOD) is funded as part of the Asia Pacific HIV Observational Database, a program of The Foundation for AIDS Research, amfAR, and is supported in part by a grant from the U.S. National Institutes of Health's National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) [grant number U01-AI069907] and by unconditional grants from Merck Sharp & Dohme; Gilead Sciences; Bristol-Myers Squibb; Boehringer Ingelheim; Janssen-Cilag; Viiv Healthcare. The Kirby Institute is funded by The Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing, and is affiliated with the Faculty of Medicine, The University of New South Wales; by grants from the Fondo de Investigación Sanitaria [grant number FIS 99/0887] and Fundación para la Investigación y la Prevención del SIDA en España [grant number FIPSE 3171/00], to the Barcelona Antiretroviral Surveillance Study (BASS); by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health [grants number 5U01AI042170-10, 5U01AI046362-03], to the Terry Bein Community Programs for Clinical Research on AIDS (CPCRA); by primary funding provided by the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme for research, technological development and demonstration under EuroCoord grant agreement n° 260694 and unrestricted grants by Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen R&D, Merck and Co. Inc., Pfizer Inc., GlaxoSmithKline LLC, (the participation of centres from Switzerland is supported by The Swiss National Science Foundation (Grant 108787)) to the EuroSIDA study; by unrestricted educational grants of AbbVie, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Janssen Pharmaceuticals to the Italian Cohort Naive to Antiretrovirals (The ICONA Foundation); and financed within the framework of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant #148522) and by the SHCS research foundation.

REFERENCES

1. Freiberg MS, Chang CC, Kuller LH, Skanderson M, Lowy E, Kraemer KL, et al. HIV infection and the risk of acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA Intern Med.* **2013**;173:614–22.
2. Chow FC, Regan S, Feske S, Meigs JB, Grinspoon SK, Triant VA. Comparison of ischemic stroke incidence in HIV-infected and non-HIV-infected patients in a U.S. health care system. *JAIDS.* **2012**;60:351–8.
3. Savès M, Chêne G, Ducimetière P, Lepout C, Le Moal G, Amouyel P, et al. Risk factors for coronary heart disease in patients treated for human immunodeficiency virus infection compared with the general population. *Clin Infect Dis.* **2003**;37:292–8.
4. Triant VA, Lee H, Hadigan C, Grinspoon SK. Increased acute myocardial infarction rates and cardiovascular risk factors among patients with human immunodeficiency virus disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* **2007**;92:2506–12.

5. Worm SW, Kamara DA, Reiss P, Fontas E, De Wit S, El-Sadr W, et al. Evaluation of HIV protease inhibitor use and the risk of sudden death or nonhemorrhagic stroke. *J Infect Dis*. 2012;205:535–9.
6. Friis-Møller N, Reiss P, Sabin C. Class of antiretroviral drugs and the risk of myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:1723–35.
7. Zanni MV, Schouten J, Grinspoon SK, Reiss P. Risk of coronary heart disease in patients with HIV infection. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2014;11:728–41.
8. Lang S, Mary-Krause M, Cotte L, Gilquin J, Partisani M, Simon A, et al. Increased risk of myocardial infarction in HIV-infected patients in France, relative to the general population. *AIDS*. 2010;24:1228–30.
9. Chow FC, Regan S, Looby SE, Zanni MV, Meigs JB, Bushnell CD, et al. Persistently Increased Ischemic Stroke Risk in HIV-Infected Women. Conference on retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI) Boston, Massachusetts, Feb 22–25 2016, Abstr. Number 638.
10. Lerner DJ, Kannel WB. Patterns of coronary heart disease morbidity and mortality in the sexes: a 26-year follow-up of the Framingham population. *Am Heart J*. 1986;111:383–90.
11. Maynard C, Litwin PE, Martin JS, Weaver WD. Gender differences in the treatment and outcome of acute myocardial infarction. Results from the myocardial infarction triage and Intervention Registry. *Arch Intern Med*. 1992;152:972–6.
12. Heer T, Schiele R, Schneider S, Gitt AK, Wienbergen H, Gottwik M, et al. Gender differences in acute myocardial infarction in the era of reperfusion (the MITRA registry). *Am J Cardiol*. 2002;89:511–7.
13. Rothwell PM, Coull AJ, Silver LE, Fairhead JF, Giles MF, Lovelock CE, et al. Population-based study of event-rate, incidence, case fatality, and mortality for all acute vascular events in all arterial territories (Oxford Vascular Study). *Lancet*. 2005;366:1773–83.
14. Löfmark U, Hammarström A. Evidence for age-dependent education-related differences in men and women with first-ever stroke: results from a community-based incidence study in northern Sweden. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2007;28:135–41.
15. Wells GL. Cardiovascular risk factors: does sex matter? *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*. 2016;14:452–7.
16. Girijala RL, Sohrabji F, Bush RL. Sex differences in stroke: review of current knowledge and evidence. *Vasc Med*. 2017;22:135–45.
17. Nguyen HL, Saczynski JS, Gore JM, Waring ME, Lessard D, Yarzebski J, et al. Long-term trends in short-term outcomes in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Med*. 2011;124:939–46.
18. Ford ES, Ajani UA, Croft JB, Critchley JA, Labarthe DR, Kottke TE, et al. Explaining the decrease in US deaths from coronary disease, 1980–2000. *N Engl J Med*. 2007;356:2388–98.
19. Koopman C, Bots ML, van Oeffelen AA, van Dis I, Verschuren WM, Engelfriet PM, et al. Population trends and inequalities in incidence and short-term outcome of acute myocardial infarction between 1998 and 2007. *Int J Cardiol*. 2013;168:993–8.
20. Pelletier R, Humphries KH, Shimony A, Bacon SL, Lavoie KL, Rabi D, et al. Sex-related differences in access to care among patients with premature acute coronary syndrome. *CMAJ*. 2014;186:497–504.
21. Stramba-Badiale M, Fox KM, Priori SG, Collins P, Daly C, Graham I, et al. Cardiovascular diseases in women: a statement from the policy conference of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J*. 2006;27:994–1005.
22. Coppieters Y, Collart P, Levêque A. Gender differences in acute myocardial infarction, twenty-five years registration. *Int J Cardiol*. 2012;160:127–32.
23. Nguyen HL, Ha DA, Phan DT, Nguyen QN, Nguyen VL, Nguyen NH, et al. Sex differences in clinical characteristics, hospital management practices, and in-hospital outcomes in patients hospitalized in a Vietnamese hospital with a first acute myocardial infarction. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9:e95631. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0095631>
24. Vaccarino V, Horwitz RI, Meehan TP, Petrillo MK, Radford MJ, Krumholz HM. Sex differences in mortality after myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med*. 1998;158:2054–62.
25. Vaccarino V, Krumholz HM, Yarzebski J, Gore JM, Goldberg RJ. Sex differences in 2-year mortality after hospital discharge for myocardial infarction. *Ann Intern Med*. 2001;6:134.
26. Gupta A, Wang Y, Spertus JA, Geda M, Lorenze N, Nkonde-Price C, et al. Trends in acute myocardial infarction in young patients and differences by sex and race, 2001 to 2010. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2014;64:337–45.
27. Movahed MR, Khan MF, Hashemzadeh M, Hashemzadeh M. Gradual decline in the age-adjusted in-hospital mortality rate from STEMI-related cardiogenic shock irrespective of cause, race or gender with persistent higher mortality rates in women despite multivariate adjustment. *J Invasive Cardiol*. 2014;26:7–12.
28. Ndrepepa G, Schulz S, Neumann FJ, Byrne RA, Hoppmann P, Cassese S, et al. Bleeding after percutaneous coronary intervention in women and men matched for age, body mass index, and type of antithrombotic therapy. *Am Heart J*. 2013;166:534–40.
29. de Boer SP, Roos-Hesselink JW, van Leeuwen MA, Lenzen MJ, van Geuns RJ, Regar E, et al. Excess mortality in women compared to men after PCI in STEMI: an analysis of 11,931 patients during 2000–2009. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014;176:456–63.
30. Hvelplund A, Galatius S, Madsen M, Rasmussen JN, Rasmussen S, Madsen JK, et al. Women with acute coronary syndrome are less invasively examined and subsequently less treated than men. *Eur Heart J*. 2010;31:684–90.
31. Worrall-Carter L, MacIsaac A, Scruth E, Rahman MA. Gender difference in the use of coronary interventions for patients with acute coronary syndrome: experience from a major metropolitan hospital in Melbourne, Australia. *Aust Crit Care*. 2017;30:3–10.
32. Tan YC, Sinclair H, Ghoorah K, Teoh X, Mehran R, Kunadian V. Gender differences in outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome in the current era: a review. *Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care*. 2015;7:51–60.
33. Stähli BE, Gebhard C, Yonekawa K, Gebhard CE, Altwegg LA, von Eckardstein A, et al. Gender-related differences in patients presenting with suspected acute coronary syndromes: clinical presentation, biomarkers and diagnosis. *Cardiol*. 2015;132:189–98.
34. Bugiardini R, Yan AT, Yan RT, Fitchett D, Langer A, Manfrini O, et al. Factors influencing underutilization of evidence-based therapies in women. *Eur Heart J*. 2011;32:1337–44.
35. Canto JG, Kiefe CI, Rogers WJ, Peterson ED, Frederick PD, French WJ, et al. Number of coronary heart disease risk factors and mortality in patients with first myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. 2011;306:2120–7.
36. European AIDS Society Guidelines (EACS) Treatment Guidelines 2017 http://www.eacsociety.org/files/guidelines_8.2-english.pdf
37. World Health Organization (WHO). Consolidated guidelines on the use of antiretroviral drugs for treating and preventing HIV infection 2016. <http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/arv/arv-2016/en/>
38. AIDS Info: Guidelines for the Use of Antiretroviral Agents in HIV-1-Infected Adults and Adolescents 2016. <https://aidsinfo.nih.gov/contentfiles/lvguidelines/adultandadolescentgl.pdf>
39. Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, Rajakangas AM, Pajak A. Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA Project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation*. 1994;90:583–612.
40. Kowalska JD, Smith C, Lundgren JD. System to classify cause of deaths in HIV-positive persons: time to harmonize. *AIDS*. 2012;26:1835–6.
41. Ferdinand K, Armani AM. The management of hypertension in African Americans. *Crit Pathw Cardiol*. 2007;6:67–71.
42. Hochman JS, Tamis JE, Thompson TD, Weaver WD, White HD, Van de Werf F, et al. Sex, clinical presentation, and outcome in patients with acute coronary syndromes. Global use of strategies to open occluded coronary arteries in acute coronary syndromes IIb investigators. *N Engl J Med*. 1999;22:226–32.
43. Canto JG, Rogers WJ, Goldberg RJ, Peterson ED, Wenger NK, Vaccarino V, et al., NRM Investigators. Association of age and sex with myocardial infarction symptom presentation and in-hospital mortality. *JAMA*. 2012; 307: 813–22.
44. Canto JG, Goldberg RJ, Hand MM, Bonow RO, Sopko G, Pepine CJ, et al. Symptom presentation of women with acute coronary syndromes: myth vs reality. *Arch Intern Med*. 2007;22:2405–13.
45. Coventry LL, Finn J, Bremner AP. Sex differences in symptom presentation in acute myocardial infarction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hear Lung*. 2011;6:477–91.
46. Chen W, Woods SL, Puntillo KA. Gender differences in symptoms associated with acute myocardial infarction: a review of the research. *Hear Lung*. 2005;4:240–7.
47. Sheifer SE, Canos MR, Weinfurt KP, Arora UK, Mendelsohn FO, Gersh BJ, et al. Sex differences in coronary artery size assessed by intravascular ultrasound. *Am Heart J*. 2000;139:649–53.
48. Coutinho T, Borlaug BA, Pellikka PA, Turner ST, Kullo IJ. Sex differences in arterial stiffness and ventricular-arterial interactions. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2013;61:96–103.
49. Pepine CJ, Kerensky RA, Lambert CR, Smith KM, von Mering GO, Sopko G, et al. Some thoughts on the vasculopathy of women with ischemic heart disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006;47:S30–5.
50. Rosengren A, Wallentin L, Gitt AK, Behar S, Battler A, Hasdai D, et al. Sex, age, and clinical presentation of acute coronary syndromes. *Eur Heart J*. 2004;25:663–70.

51. Canto JG, Canto EA, Goldberg RJ. Time to standardize and broaden the criteria of acute coronary syndrome symptom presentations in women. *Can J Cardiol.* **2014**;3:721–8.
52. Mumma BE, Baumann BM, Diercks DB, Takakuwa KM, Campbell CF, Shofer FS, et al. Sex bias in cardiovascular testing: the contribution of patient preference. *Ann Emerg Med.* **2011**;57:551–60.e4.
53. Apple FS, Ler R, Murakami MM. Determination of 19 cardiac troponin I and T assay 99th percentile values from a common presumably healthy population. *Clin Chem.* **2012**;58:1574–81.
54. Alfredsson J, Stenestrand U, Wallentin L, Wallentin L, Swahn E. Gender differences in management and outcome in non-ST-elevation acute coronary syndrome. *Heart.* **2007**;93:1357–62.